1 2

3

4

5

6 V

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RONALD SATISH EMRIT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-1502-JCM-VCF

REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

This matter involves *pro se* Plaintiff Ronald Satish Emrit's civil action against the National Institute of Health, Center for Disease Control, Food and Drug Administration, and Department of Health and Human Services. Before the court is Emrit's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (#2). For the reasons stated below, Emrit's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* is denied.

Emrit, who graduated from St. Thomas University School of Law, was diagnosed with Hepatitis C in 2004. (IFP at 2); (Compl. (#1) at 5). He "consistently asked" the National Institute of Health, Center for Disease Control, Food and Drug Administration, and Department of Health and Human Services "to help him with" treatment; but, they did not. (Compl. (#1) at 6). Consequently, Emrit commenced this action on September 15, 2014, seeking \$40,000,000.00. (*Id.* at 11).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), a \$400.00 filing fee is required to commence a civil action in federal court. The court may authorize the commencement of an action without prepayment of fees and costs or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement showing the person is unable to pay such costs. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The standard governing *in forma pauperis* eligibility under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) is "unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." Determination of what constitutes "unable to pay" or unable to "give security therefor" and, therefore whether to allow a plaintiff to proceed *in forma pauperis*, is left to the discretion of the presiding judge,

based on the information submitted by the plaintiff or plaintiffs. *See, e.g., Fridman v. City of New York*, 195 F. Supp. 2d 534, 536 (S.D.N.Y.), *aff'd*, 52 Fed .Appx. 157 (2nd Cir. 2002).

Emrit asserts in his Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* that he drives a Mercedes Benz C-230 and recently inherent \$31,000.00 from his aunt's estate. However, Emrit also asserts that he has law school debt in the amount of \$200,000.00 and that he lives with his ex-girlfriend, who depends on him to pay the electricity, gas, and DirectTV bills. (*Id.*) Emrit's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* is denied.

ACCORDINGLY, and for good cause shown,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that Emrit's Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Emrit must SUBMIT the filing fee to the Clerk's Office by Monday, October 27, 2014, or this matter will be DISMISSED.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

Case 2:14-cv-01502-JCM-VCF Document 3 Filed 10/07/14 Page 3 of 3

Pursuant to Local Special Rule 2-2, the Plaintiff must immediately file written notification with the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing party of the party's attorney. **Failure to comply with this Rule may result in dismissal of the action**. *See* LSR 2-2.

DATED this 7th day of October, 2014.

CAM FERENBACH

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE